

THE WILMINGTON JOURNAL.

WILMINGTON, N. C., MONDAY, SEPT. 27, 1859.

TRANSIENT ADVERTISERS will please bear in mind that their advertisements cannot appear in this paper until first being paid for in advance. This rule will be strictly carried out without respect to persons.

No name for either the *Daily* or *Weekly Journal*, will be inserted in our list unless payment being made in advance, and the paper will be discontinued when the time paid for expires.

Oct. 29, 1857.

It is a painful element of publicity, that it is generally the worst things that make the most noise. That the virtues are quiet and unobtrusive, while the vices, by disturbing the order of things attract to themselves attention. The law punishes crime, or pretends to do so. It cannot even pretend to reward virtue.

A certain amount of brass and reward will sometimes give to a totally unworthy character a sort of newspaper prominence that the ignorant and unsuspecting might mistake for fame. The telegraphic wires transmit on the same night the news of the celebration of the laying of the Atlantic Cable and of the illegal burning of the quarantine buildings on Staten Island. John Morrissey and the "Peunia Boy" train themselves for the laudable purpose of heating each others' bodies and smashing each others' profiles, and the papers dilate with pleasure upon the preparatory arrangements—tell how the champions are trotted out and exercised and carried down and rubbed off like horses.

On another field another kind of prize fight comes off. A man named Brownlow, misnamed *Reverend*, referred to as the Fighting Parson, makes an arrangement to discuss the question of slavery with another man of equally low cast of mind and temper, Mr. Pryne, an abolitionist. They meet at Philadelphia, and the result may easily be foreseen. They out-Herod Herod; they out-Billingsgate Billingsgate, and the papers publish the particulars.

Wm. Walker, or Col. Titus, or any other visionary or adventurous or reckless person gets together a few persons as reckless as himself, and at the head of two or three hundred men he is a *General*, and his handful of undisciplined men, embodied contrary to the spirit of the law, is an *army*, and he wanders about the earth ever afterwards calling himself the government of Nicaragua, or some other small affair.

In this way it is pretty certain that false ideas receive a kind of currency, and that they are thus enabled to reproduce themselves, and stamp their impress measurably at least upon the character of the age. Notoriety is mistaken for fame. The young, inexperienced and unregulated candidate the prominence of Morrissey, or Billingsgate, Brownlow or Pryne. They are led to confound heroism with deeds of violence or lawless adventure, to fancy Walker, Lopez, or Ray Tompkins as being little inferior to Washington, Columbus, or Bolivar;—thus brawling demagogues are apt for the moment to attain a power which they can neither hold nor manage.

We regret to see that an unpleasant state of feeling is growing up among and between the Democrats of the State of Virginia. Of the different wings or sections of the party there, one has the *Enquirer* and the other the *South* for its central organ. The suggestions of one wing are controverted by the other wing.—The *Enquirer* recommends the holding of the Democratic State Convention at Richmond or Alexandria, while the *South* thinks that Staunton is the place. One wing favours Letcher for Governor, and the other pitches into him. There are evidently ambitious and aspirations which come into collision with each other, but so far all are pledged to abide the action of the Convention, and we trust that all will do so at the right time, regardless of past squabbles about men.

It cannot be denied, however, that the great and almost undisputed ascendancy of the Democratic party in several States is beginning to develop the consequences which are certain to flow—a feeling of careless security, nor that in the squabbles of individuals for power and prominence, the harmony of the party may be endangered and its ascendancy jeopardized.

In Virginia, as in North Carolina, they have that queer sort of politician—a distribution Democrat (?) who is put forward, aided and abetted by the opposition to the Democratic party. In Illinois, Pennsylvania and other Northern States, there are certain disappointed aspirants, who are consequently disorganizers. What they think of we cannot say, but for our part we must express our settled conviction, that the party can neither afford to relax its organization, nor can the *South* afford to abate one iota of Democratic principle.

Getting in the Goods.

Judging by appearances, our Dry Goods merchants have determined to keep on trying for another year.—They all are getting in new stocks of goods—some have got in a good many already. On Market Street and Front Street there are packing-boxes, and also at different points on Water Street. We do not know whether the stocks this year are as large as usual, but they look to be so, and we presume they are good and well selected and can be offered at "prices to suit the times."

The importations of foreign goods are certainly much smaller this year than for any of the years lately past; so also are the quantities of Domestic Goods manufactured and thrown on the market, and yet we hear of no dearth of goods; nor of any demand above the supply, from which we are led to infer that the consumption must have largely fallen off, which may be a good thing, if it be the result of that economy whose consequence will be relief from debt.

We are not deeply skilled in the mysteries of shopping or the cost of Dry Goods, but we keep hearing things, and comparing notes, and after having done so, we had come to the conclusion that our merchants here sell the majority of articles just as cheap as they can be bought anywhere. Some things may perhaps be higher, but others again are lower. There is no sort of use in sending "North" for anything with the idea of cheapness. Those that want goods ought to get them at home.

THE DETENTION OF THE MAILS AND PASSENGERS.

We publish to-day a communication from Hon. Wm. S. Ashe, President of the W. & V. Railroad Co., in reply to an article recently copied by us from the *Charleston Mercury*. Mr. Ashe places the responsibility where it properly belongs, and does no more than justice to the able head of the Post Office Department. The course of the Railroads immediately North of us is impracticable in the extreme, and is not simply inimical to us, but suicidal, so far as their own interests are concerned.

DISPATCH.—The schooner *Anna E. Glover*, (of 300 tons burthen), Capt. J. O. Robinson, consigned to G. W. Davis, Esq., left New York on Saturday afternoon, 18th inst., and arrived here on Monday evening, with a full cargo of merchandise, which was discharged, and she has now on board a full cargo of naval stores—about 2600 bbls.—and will sail this afternoon for New York. The *Glover* is a fine vessel and fast sailer, and has generally made quick trips.

Daily Journal, 25th inst.

The *Journal* says our light must not be "hid under a bushel." We don't think it ought, but a big joking friend of ours says that "a bushel" would cover us, light and all. We took for comfort from our friend of the *Journal*.—We know, for a certain reason, that he will not agree that our corporal importance, at least, is so inconspicuous.

Epiphany in Carolina.

We don't know what to make of our friend Wightman's certain reason, unless it be that, little as he is, the editor of the *Journal* is still smaller. He forgets, however, that however it may be in the matter of longitude, we have the decided advantage of him in latitude—that we are very considerably taller across.

JAMES ADGER, Esq., a distinguished merchant of Charleston, S. C., died in New York on the 24th inst., of pneumonia.

SPURGEON'S GEMS.—Being Brilliant Passages from the Discourses of the Rev. C. H. Spurgeon. Sheldon, Blakeman & Co., New York.

SERMONS TO THE CHURCHES.—By Francis Wayland. Sheldon, Blakeman & Co., New York.

The above works have been forwarded to us through Messrs. Merrill & Pierce, of this place, and although not quite in our line, we have spent some time in glancing over their contents. "Spurgeon's Gems" consist of what might be called "elegant extracts" from his several discourses. Some of these extracts are noteworthy on their own account, and all are at least objects of curiosity as indications of a certain order of public taste. We have at home many better sermon writers than Mr. Spurgeon, and we have many worse ones. To say that he does not possess talent, or that his reputation is all ephemeral, or built up upon illusive foundations, would be to say what is not so. There are thoughts scattered through the impassioned words which make up the great number of the Gems. Still it would be folly to assert that the printed sermons of Spurgeon ever hold their ground as standards of pulpit oratory, or that they would ever have attained the immense circulation which has been given to them, but for the excitement created by his oral efforts, and the natural desire to see what it is that constitutes the secret of his power of creating that excitement. Spurgeon speaks to—talks with—his audience as though really meaning it for them. The majority of English preachers adopt a conventional singsong, less real in its expression than the stage strut and growl of a fifth-rate melodramatic actor. Hence their want of popular power, and hence the excitement created by a man like Spurgeon, who really seems to feel what he says; who appears to believe in the truth of his mission, and to be truly desirous to succeed.

Dr. Wayland's sermons are generally plain and practical in their tone. They give evidence of ripe thought. They are didactic without being trite or commonplace—sometimes earnest and persuasive, without degenerating into rant, and above all—free from sectarian bitterness.

The *Petersburg Express* urges our Newbern friends to get a telegraph line. We question if it would pay directly, but it might indirectly, as a great benefit and convenience to merchants.—In saying that we question if the line to Newbern would pay, we mean to disparage to our sister town. Hardly any branch line to a town the size of Newbern can do so. The office at this place pays quite well, we believe, but whether the line would pay it is not a part of the great Northern and Southern line, is another matter. We trust, however, that our Newbern friends may find the experiment a successful one. It would be an advantage to the Atlantic R. R., and the Company ought to help.

We had the pleasure of seeing Judge Heath in our town yesterday. His Honor appears to be in the enjoyment of perfect health, and likely to stay so. As we remarked before, he bids fair to be a very popular, and will certainly be a very able Judge. His manner of presiding at Duplin this week is spoken of in the highest terms, by the members of the bar, and others.

Daily Journal, 25th inst.

The Raleigh Standard of this date says that the weather has been very cool there for a day or two past, very nearly approaching frost.

One of our exchanges states that water poured on a floor at Columbia, S. C., one night this week was found frozen next morning.

From all accounts it appears to be pretty certain that the cold has come sooner than usual. A killing frost at this early day would cause a very decided loss of cotton and some other crops.—*Id.*

The Rev. Reuben Post, D. D., died at his residence in Charleston, on the 24th inst., of yellow fever, in the 67th year of his age. He had been Pastor of the Circular Church of Charleston for twenty-one years.

Walker's Nicaragua trip is simply an invention of the New York Herald, which has been pretty hard run for an item of an exciting character.

Thursday was observed in Charleston as a day of humiliation and prayer.

A Free Government.

We call attention to the subjoined remarks taken from the *Baltimore Sun* of yesterday, the 23d inst. Surely they indicate a state of thing which is worse than that prevailing under any European or Asiatic despotism, inasmuch as thousands of tyrants are worse than one. The tyranny of mob violence is worse than the despotism of an autocrat. We ask any candid man to ponder over the state of things in a city that Parson Brownlow thinks a pretty fair substitute for Heaven.

The *Sun*, after mentioning the re-nomination of Mayor Swann, by the Know-Nothing Convention, says:

In some remarks a few days since we referred in general terms to the principle we have steadfastly maintained in the *Sun*, that national politics should not be considered in our municipal affairs. In so doing we alluded to a proposed "independent movement," so called, and at the same time remarked that we had very little faith in it. By this time, we suspect, few of those who contemplated such a movement have any faith at all in it. At the time, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American party could be elected, with a clear majority of legal voters in the city against him, unless it was a nomination absolutely distasteful to a considerable portion of the party itself. It is this belief, founded in facts known to every citizen of Baltimore, which precludes all faith in the movement, a correspondent of the city of Baltimore, who had faith in a movement which corresponded with the theory we professed. We have had no opportunity to refer to the matter since, and our reply may be more pertinent and timely now. We put it in the form of another question. Is there a single reader of the *Sun* who believes, in view of what our elections have been of late, that any other man could be elected over Mr. Swann, even though there were in the city of Baltimore a clear majority of legal voters in his favor? We do not. Nor do we believe that any nominee of the American